

22428

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9407 029471/0146 01/12/2001 Hidehiko Okada 09/758,549

7590 11/18/2004

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LE V FOLEY AND LARDNER PAPER NUMBER

SUITE 500 ART UNIT 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 2174

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/758,549	OKADA, HIDEHIKO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Le Nguyen	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	The state of the s	
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛮 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See continuation sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		·
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 2-25.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		
10. Other:	BUS KE SUPERVIS	Etine Zincaid RISTINE KINCAID ORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new issues being: an applicable use of base software; analyzing the inherent screen/screenshot of said base software, said widget detection unit being operated by said overlay software external to said base software; a controller managing control by running an overlay program operating a cover screen and a base program operating an inherent screen, said overlay program different from and external to said base program, said cover screen hiding said inherent screen and displaying an applicable use of said base program...said controller further controlling detection of the location on the inherent screen of said base program of a GUI widget to be actuated next in accordance with the registered operating sequence by analyzing the inherent screen displayed by said base program; the GUI control as defined in claim 3 wherein said first software is not modified in executing said GUI control; a GUI control method comprising displaying a cover screen hiding an inherent screen operated by a base software, which is different from an overlay software that operates said cover screen on a display device; a step of previously registering in a storage unit the applicable use of a base or first software and displaying a registered use of said first software in a menu; and, a step of previously registering the widget-relation information...on the inherent screen of said second base software program.